•.	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/676,843	RAGHAVAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
,	Michael Koczo, Jr.	3746
All Participants:	Status of Application: allo	<u>owed</u>
(1) <u>Michael Koczo, Jr.</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Harold H. Bennett II</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 17 December 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		·
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
21		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
See Examiner's Amendment. Corrected an inaccuracy in claim 21.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
		٠.
2.0~1		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)